

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/938,667 08/27/2001		Jens Petersen	60117.000006	2505
75	90 05/05/2003			
Stanislaus Aksman Hunton & Williams Suite 1200			EXAMINER	
			FUBARA, BLESSING M	
1900 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		09/938,667	PETERSEN			
	Onice Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAII ING DATE of this communication an	Blessing M. Fubara	1615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresp ndence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13.	<u> August 2002</u> .				
2a)⊠	,	nis action is non-final.	•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
/	Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
<i>'</i> _	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
, <u> </u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 17-47</u> is/are rejected.					
•	7) Claim(s) <u>16</u> is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/938,667

Art Unit: 1615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Examiner acknowledges receipt of IDS filed 04/11/02 and amendment B filed 08/13/02. Claims 1-47 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The rejection of claims 9-19 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, is withdrawn because the claims are amended to recite treating in place of preventing.
- 2. The rejection of claims 3-5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 14, 17 and 19 under 35 U.S.C. 112, is withdrawn in light of the amendment to the claims.

### Claim Objections

3. The objection of claim 17 under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form is withdrawn because amendment of claim 17 overcomes the objection.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. Claims 1-15, and 17-47 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vogel et al. (US 6,335,028).
- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 08/13/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the hydrogel of the claimed invention is substantially free of monomeric units and thus it is neutral and is not cationically charged.

In response it is noted that substantially free is not 100% free of monomeric units or free of monomeric units and regarding the argument that the claimed hydrogel is neutral, it is noted that the neutral feature of the hydrogel upon which applicant relies is not recited in the rejected

Application/Control Number: 09/938,667

Art Unit: 1615

claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPO2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Substantially free is not equal to free.

Regarding the argument that Halpern teaches 80-90% polyacrylamide content and the polyacrylamide content in the claimed invention is from about 0.5-25%, it is noted that Halpern is relied upon for a teaching that polyacrylamide, formed from cross-linking acrylamide monomers with methylene bis acrylamide, is a hydrogel.

Applicant further argues that viscosity is a patentable distinction. In response, it is noted in this case that Vogel (claims 8 and 9) administers the gel composition through injection with a syringe. Thus the composition of Vogel must have a certain viscosity in order for it to be administered via injection with a syringe where the syringe is not clogged or where the composition can be taken up by the syringe. Secondly, applicant has no comparable example to demonstrate that the viscosity of the composition provides some unusual results.

- 6. Claim 16 remains objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/938,667

Art Unit: 1615

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Blessing M. Fubara whose telephone number is 703-308-8374.

The examiner can normally be reached on 7 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. (Monday to Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3592 for regular

communications and 703-305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1234.

Blessing Fubara

April 29, 2003

THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY BENTER 1600